

# Gravity

An exercise in quantization

Igor Khavkine

Institute for Theoretical Physics  
Utrecht University

31 May 2013

Quantum Gravity in Perspective, LMU

# Quantum Gravity: problem statement

- ▶ QG is a theory that satisfies these requirements:
  - ▶ Is a quantum theory (non-commutative algebra, representations).
  - ▶ Has a classical limit (Poisson algebra, symplectic manifold).  
The limit is General Relativity (GR).
  - ▶ Is a field theory (continuum, locality, causality).
  - ▶ Is minimal (non spurious degrees of freedom).
- ▶ The definition is non-vacuous. It is essentially the deformation quantization of the classical field theory of GR.
- ▶ It is known to exist formally (perturbatively) and it is plausible that it exists non-perturbatively.

# QG construction sketch

Start with the Einstein-Hilbert action on a 4d manifold.

- ▶ Construct classical field theory (FT).
  - ▶ Phase space (with gauge).
  - ▶ (Pre-)symplectic structure.
  - ▶ Gauge reduction: physical phase space and Poisson structure.
  - ▶ Identify algebra of observables; associate phenomenological interpretations.
- ▶ Construct quantum field theory (QFT).
  - ▶ Apply deformation quantization to FT of GR.
  - ▶ Make sure locality, causality, gauge reduction all commute with quantization.
- ▶ This construction is just Field Quantization.  
In no way is it unique to GR.

Elephant in the room: Some steps are perturbative (formal power series in  $\hbar$  and  $G$ ) and no non-perturbative analog is known. Absolutely the same statement is true for the Standard Model.

# Why is GR hard?

QG is still hard for many reasons. But much is known about each obstacle in isolation.

1. Non-linearity
  - ▶  $\lambda\phi^4$ , QED, YM, fluids
2. Dynamical Causality
  - ▶ gas dynamics, fluids, quasilinear hyperbolic PDE
3. Singularities
  - ▶ fluid shocks, breaking waves, wave focusing
4. Gauge Redundancy
  - ▶ Maxwell, YM, TFT, string
5. Non-local Observables
  - ▶ Aharonov-Bohm, TFT, Cartan method
6. UV Renormalization
  - ▶ all interacting QFTs
7. IR Renormalization
  - ▶ all QFTs with massless fields
8. Non-perturbative Definition
  - ▶ all physical QFTs

# Conservative philosophical position

- ▶ What is a physical theory?

A highly efficient quantitative, method of summarizing past empirical data and predicting future empirical data, with quantifiable uncertainty.

- ▶ 'empirical data': outcomes of controlled experiments
- ▶ 'summary': Lagrangian
- ▶ 'controlled experiment': state + observable
- ▶ 'prediction': state + observable  $\mapsto$  numbers

- ▶ No a priori reason for world to be 'simple' or 'beautiful'.

- ▶ What is a problem for a theory?

- ▶ Internal: consistency, failure of unambiguous prediction.
- ▶ External: unambiguous predictions do not match empirical data.

- ▶ What is not a problem for a theory?

- ▶ Fails to account for all coincidences.
- ▶ Intractable by given approximation or formalism.
- ▶ Theoretical prejudice.
- ▶ Aesthetics.

# Perceived problems of QG

- ▶ Timelessness
  - ▶ Failure of 3+1 canonical formalism. Covariant formalism has no such problem. Subjective passage of time modeled adequately by clock observables.
- ▶ Non-renormalizability
  - ▶ Power-counting renormalizability is a relic of outdated methods.
  - ▶ Modern renormalization: Lagrangian + renormalization scheme  $\mapsto$   $n$ -point functions. Change in renormalization scheme absorbed by  $O(\hbar)$  change in Lagrangian (theorem).
  - ▶ All statements are perturbative. Non-perturbative statements await non-perturbative formulation.
- ▶ Black hole evaporation and unitarity
  - ▶ Intermediate times: analogous to room with open window.
  - ▶ Long times: simply unknown.
- ▶ Naturalness (cosmological constant)
  - ▶ The cosmological constant is a free parameter.
- ▶ Unification
  - ▶ Aesthetic or theoretical prejudice.

# Covariant symplectic structure [✓]

- ▶ Obviates the need for 3+1 formalism.
- ▶ Lagrangian  $\mapsto$  (pre-)symplectic form on solution space.
- ▶ Lagrangian  $\mapsto$  Poisson bracket on observables (Peierls bracket).
- ▶ The two are compatible (up to partial gauge fixing or gauge reduction).
- ▶ 3+1 formalism completely recovered from the special Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}(\phi, \pi) = \pi \dot{\phi} - \mathcal{H}(\phi, \pi)$ .

[K2] I.K. *Characteristics, Conal Geometry and Causality in Locally Covariant Field Theory* [arXiv:1211.1914]

## BV-BRST and gauge reduction [✓]

- ▶ Convenient algebraic formalism for the construction of the Poisson algebra of gauge invariant observables.
- ▶ Algebraic analog the (geometric) gauge reduction of the solution space to the physical phase space.
- ▶ Main advantages:
  - ▶ Quantization is algebraic. BV-BRST survives quantization.
  - ▶ Is behind the ‘quantization commutes with gauge reduction’ (up to obstructions) theorem.
  - ▶ Obstructions are known as ‘gauge anomalies’.
  - ▶ Gauge anomalies are absent in 4d GR.

[H T] M.Henneaux, C.Teitelboim, *Quantization of Gauge Systems* (1994)

[R] K.Rejzner, PhD Thesis (Hamburg) [arXiv:1111.5130]



## Observables [✓] and [X]

- ▶ Gauge invariant observables in GR are non-local.
- ▶ Hard (but not impossible) to find examples that are both
  - ▶ mathematically tractable
  - ▶ phenomenologically meaningful
- ▶ Untapped connection to Cartan's method for solving geometric equivalence problems.
- ▶ Still of work to be done!

[W] R.P.Woodard, PhD Thesis (Harvard, 1984)

[P W] D.N.Page, W.K.Wootters PhysRev D**27** 2885 (1983)

[G P P T] R.Gambini, R.A.Porto, J.Pullin, S.Tortorolo PhysRev D**79**  
041501 (2009)

[K1] I.K. *Quantum astrometric observables I* [arXiv:1111.7127]

# Deformation quantization [✓]

- ▶ Formalization of the correspondence principle and what quantization has meant in practice.
- ▶ Relies only on symplectic or Poisson geometry.
- ▶ Always possible, at least perturbatively. Non-perturbatively: active research program.
- ▶ Sheds new light on operator ordering ambiguities.
- ▶ Is behind the ‘quantization commutes with gauge reduction’ theorem.

[D F] M.Dütsch, K.Fredenhagen, *Perturbative Algebraic Field Theory, and Deformation Quantization* [arXiv:hep-th/0101079]

[H] S.Hollands (work in progress)

[B F R] R.Brunetti, K.Fredenhagen, P.L.Ribeiro, (work in progress)

## UV renormalization [✓]

- ▶ Epstein-Glaser: local and regularization independent formulation of renormalization.
- ▶ Essentially always possible (there always exists a ‘renormalization scheme’).
  - ▶ Changes in renormalization scheme absorbed at order  $O(\hbar)$  in local Lagrangian.
  - ▶ No ‘power counting’ restrictions.
- ▶ Works in curved backgrounds and applies to GR.

[B D F] R.Brunetti, M.Dütsch, K.Fredenhagen, *Perturbative algebraic quantum field theory and the renormalization groups*, [arXiv:0901.2038]

[R] K.Rejzner, PhD Thesis (Hamburg) [arXiv:1111.5130]

## IR renormalization [✓] and [X]

- ▶ IR divergences in perturbative scattering with massless fields. Must use inclusive cross-sections.
- ▶ External sources, thermodynamic limit, Haag's theorem. Must use non-Fock representation of algebra of observables.
- ▶ Essentially, it is known what to do, but not at the same level of polish as for UV renormalization.
- ▶ Still work to be done!

[E] G.G.Emch *Algebraic Methods in Statistical Mechanics and Quantum Field Theory* (Wiley, 1972)

## Nonperturbative definition [X]

- ▶ The real elephant in the room.
- ▶ QG exists as much as the Standard Model (perturbatively in  $\hbar$  and  $\lambda$ ).
- ▶ The Standard Model does not exist as much as QG (both lack a non-perturbative definition, existence proof).
- ▶ Without non-perturbative existence, no unambiguous, quantitative predictions can be made (no rigorous error bars).
- ▶ \$1 M Clay Institute prize for solving this problem.

# Discussion

- ▶ The problem of Quantum Gravity can be precisely stated.
- ▶ There exists a perturbative solution: (modulo observables) QG exists as much as the Standard Model does.
- ▶ Solution is conservative: no new fields, no new dimensions, no radically different dynamics, no unneeded discretization.
- ▶ Advances in several active areas of mathematics automatically improve the construction.
- ▶ Many of the physical implications left to be explored.

Thank you for your attention!