

Notes on Sobolev Spaces — A. Visintin — a.a. 2013-14

Contents: 1. Hölder spaces. 2. Regularity of Euclidean domains. 3. Sobolev spaces of positive integer order. 4. Sobolev spaces of real integer order and traces. 5. Sobolev and Morrey embeddings.

Note. The bullet • and the asterisk * are respectively used to indicate the most relevant results and complements. The symbol □ follows statements the proof of which has been omitted, whereas [Ex] is used to propose the reader to fill in the argument as an exercise.

Here are some abbreviations that are used throughout:

a.a. = almost any; resp. = respectively; w.r.t. = with respect to.

p' : conjugate exponent of p , that is, $p' := p/(p-1)$ if $1 < p < +\infty$, $1' := \infty$, $\infty' := 1$.

$\mathbf{N}_0 := \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}$; $\mathbf{R}_+^N := \mathbf{R}^{N-1} \times]0, +\infty[$. $|A|$:= measure of the measurable set A .

1. Hölder spaces

First we state a result, that provides a procedure to construct normed spaces, and is easily extended from the product of two spaces to that of a finite family. This technique is very convenient, and we shall repeatedly use it.

Proposition 1.1 *Let A and B be two normed spaces and $p \in [1, +\infty]$. Then:*

(i) *The vector space $A \times B$ is a normed space equipped with the p -norm of the product:*

$$\begin{aligned} \|(v, w)\|_p &:= (\|v\|_A^p + \|w\|_B^p)^{1/p} && \text{if } 1 \leq p < +\infty, \\ \|(v, w)\|_\infty &:= \max\{\|v\|_A, \|w\|_B\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

Let us denote this space by $(A \times B)_p$. These norms are mutually equivalent.

(ii) *If A and B are Banach spaces, then $(A \times B)_p$ is a Banach space.*

(iii) *If A and B are separable (reflexive, resp.), then $(A \times B)_p$ is also separable (reflexive, resp.).*

(iv) *If A and B are uniformly convex and $1 < p < +\infty$, then $(A \times B)_p$ is uniformly convex.*

(v) *If A and B are inner-product spaces (Hilbert spaces, resp.), equipped with the scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_A$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_B$, resp., then $(A \times B)_2$ is an inner-product space (a Hilbert space, resp.) equipped with the scalar product*

$$((u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2))_2 := (u_1, u_2)_A + (v_1, v_2)_B \quad \forall (u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \in (A \times B)_2.$$

$\|(\cdot, \cdot)\|_2$ is then the corresponding Hilbert norm.

(vi) *$F \in (A \times B)_p'$ (the dual space of $(A \times B)_p$) iff there exists a (unique) pair $(g, h) \in A' \times B'$ such that*

$$\langle F, (u, v) \rangle = A' \langle g, u \rangle_A + B' \langle h, v \rangle_B \quad \forall (u, v) \in (A \times B)_p. \quad (1.2)$$

In this case

$$\|F\|_{(A \times B)_p'} = \|(g, h)\|_{(A' \times B')_{p'}}. \quad (1.3)$$

The mapping $(A \times B)_p' \rightarrow (A' \times B')_{p'} : F \mapsto (g, h)$ is indeed an isometric surjective isomorphism.

(We omit the simple argument, that rests upon classical properties of Banach spaces.)

A variant of the above result consists in equipping Banach spaces with the graph norm, associated to a linear operator.

Spaces of Continuous Functions. Throughout this section, by K we shall denote a compact subset of \mathbf{R}^N , and by Ω a (possibly unbounded) domain of \mathbf{R}^N .

The linear space of continuous functions $K \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, denoted by $C^0(K)$, is a Banach space equipped with the sup-norm $p_K(v) := \sup_{x \in K} |v(x)|$ (this is even a maximum). The corresponding topology induces the uniform convergence.

The linear space of continuous functions $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, denoted by $C^0(\Omega)$, is a locally convex Fréchet space equipped with a family of seminorms: $\{p_{K_n} : K \subset \subset \Omega\}$, where $\{K_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence of compact sets that invades Ω , namely $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} K_n = \Omega$.⁽¹⁾ This topology induces the **locally uniform convergence**.

The linear space of *bounded continuous* functions $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, denoted by $C_b^0(\Omega)$, is also a Banach space equipped with the sup-norm $p_\Omega(v) := \sup_{x \in \Omega} |v(x)|$, and is thus a subspace of $C^0(\Omega)$.

As Ω is a metric space, we may also deal with uniformly continuous functions. In the literature, the linear space of *bounded and uniformly continuous functions* $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is often denoted by $BUC(\Omega)$ or $C^0(\bar{\Omega})$, as these functions have a unique continuous extension to $\bar{\Omega}$. The latter notation is customary but slightly misleading: for instance,

$$C^0(\overline{\mathbf{R}^N}) \neq C^0(\mathbf{R}^N) \quad (1.4)$$

although obviously $\overline{\mathbf{R}^N} = \mathbf{R}^N$. For any domain Ω , $C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ is strictly contained in $C_b^0(\Omega)$. However, if Ω is bounded then $K := \bar{\Omega}$ is compact, and $C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ may be identified with the space $C^0(K)$ that we defined above. Notice that $C^0(\bar{\Omega}) (= BUC(\Omega))$ is a closed subspace of $C_b^0(\Omega)$, and the inclusion is strict; for instance,

$$\{x \mapsto \sin(1/x)\} \in C_b^0(]0, 1[) \setminus C^0(\overline{]0, 1[}), \quad \{x \mapsto \sin(x^2)\} \in C_b^0(\mathbf{R}) \setminus C^0(\bar{\mathbf{R}}). \quad (1.5)$$

In this section we shall see several other spaces *over* $\bar{\Omega}$ that are included into the corresponding space *over* Ω .

Spaces of Hölder-Continuous Functions. Let us fix any $\lambda \in]0, 1[$. The bounded continuous functions $v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$p_{\Omega, \lambda}(v) := \sup_{x, y \in \Omega, x \neq y} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^\lambda} < +\infty \quad (1.6)$$

are said **Hölder-continuous** of index (or exponent) λ , and form a linear space that we denote by $C^{0, \lambda}(\bar{\Omega})$ and equip with the graph norm. If $\lambda = 1$ these functions are said to be **Lipschitz continuous**. Obviously Hölder functions are uniformly continuous, so $C^{0, \lambda}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset C^0(\bar{\Omega})$. The functional $p_{\Omega, \lambda}$ is a seminorm. [Ex]

Proposition 2.1 *For any $\lambda \in]0, 1[$, $C^{0, \lambda}(\bar{\Omega})$ is a Banach space when equipped with the norm $p_\Omega + p_{\Omega, \lambda}$.*

The functions $v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ that are Hölder-continuous of index λ in any compact set $K \subset \Omega$ are called **locally Hölder-continuous**. They form a Fréchet space, denoted by $C^{0, \lambda}(\Omega)$, when equipped with the family of seminorms $\{p_K + p_{K, \lambda} : K \subset \subset \Omega\}$. Notice that

$$C^{0, \lambda}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset C^{0, \nu}(\bar{\Omega}) \quad \forall \lambda, \nu \in]0, 1[, \nu < \lambda, [Ex] \quad (1.7)$$

with continuous injections.⁽²⁾ For instance for any $\lambda \in]0, 1[$, the function $x \mapsto |x|^\lambda$ is an element of $C^{0, \lambda}(\mathbf{R})$, but not of $C^{0, \nu}(\mathbf{R})$ for any $\nu > \lambda$, and not of $C^{0, \lambda}(\bar{\mathbf{R}})$ (here also the traditional notation is not very helpful).

⁽¹⁾ We remind the reader that Fréchet spaces are linear spaces that are also complete metric spaces and such that the linear operations are continuous.

⁽²⁾ All the injections between function spaces will be continuous; so we shall not point it out any more.

Notice that $\bigcup_{\lambda \in]0,1[} C^{0,\lambda}([0,1]) \neq C^0([0,1])$; e.g., the function

$$u(x) := (\log x)^{-1} \quad \forall x \in]0, 1/2], \quad u(0) = 0 \quad (1.8)$$

is continuous, but is not Hölder-continuous for any index λ . Moreover, $\bigcap_{\lambda \in]0,1[} C^{0,\lambda}([0,1]) \neq C^{0,1}([0,1])$. [Ex]

Spaces of Differentiable Functions. Let us assume that Ω and λ are as above and that $m \in \mathbf{N}$. Let us recall the multi-index notation, and set $D_i := \partial/\partial x_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$.

We claim that the functions $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ that are m -times differentiable and are bounded and continuous jointly with their derivatives up to order m form a Banach space, denoted by $C_b^m(\Omega)$, when equipped with the norm

$$p_{\Omega,m}(v) := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sup_{x \in \Omega} |D^\alpha v(x)| \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N}. \quad (1.9)$$

This is easily seen because, setting

$$k(m) := \frac{(N+m)!}{N!m!} = \text{number of the multi-indices } \alpha \in \mathbf{N}^N \text{ such that } |\alpha| \leq m, \quad (1.10)$$

the mapping $C_b^m(\Omega) \rightarrow C_b^0(\Omega)^{k(m)} : v \mapsto \{D^\alpha v : |\alpha| \leq m\}$ is a (nonsurjective) isomorphism between $C_b^m(\Omega)$ and its range. Indeed, if $D^\alpha u_n \rightarrow u_\alpha$ uniformly in Ω for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^N$ such that $|\alpha| \leq m$, then $u_\alpha = D^\alpha u_0$; thus $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $C_b^m(\Omega)$. For instance, $C_b^1(\mathbf{R}^2)$ is isomorphic to $\{(w, w_1, w_2) \in C_b^0(\mathbf{R}^2)^3 : w_i = \partial w / \partial x_i \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^2, \text{ for } i = 1, 2\}$. Here one may define a norm via Proposition 1.1.

The functions $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ that are continuous with their derivatives up to order m form a locally convex Fréchet space equipped with the family of seminorms $\{p_{K,m} : K \subset\subset \Omega\}$. This space is denoted by $C^m(\Omega)$ (or by $\mathcal{E}^m(\Omega)$).

The linear space of the functions $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ that are bounded with their derivatives up to order m , and whose derivatives of order m are Hölder-continuous of index λ , may be equipped with the norm

$$p_{\Omega,m,\lambda}(v) := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sup_{x \in \Omega} |D^\alpha v(x)| + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} p_{\Omega,\lambda}(D^\alpha v), \quad (1.11)$$

with $p_{\Omega,\lambda}$ as above. By Proposition 1.1, this is a Banach space, that we denote by $C^{m,\lambda}(\bar{\Omega})$.

The linear space of the functions $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ whose derivatives up to order m are Hölder-continuous of index λ in any compact set $K \subset \Omega$ can be equipped with the family of seminorms $\{p_{K,m,\lambda} : K \subset\subset \Omega\}$. This is a locally convex Fréchet space, denoted by $C^{m,\lambda}(\Omega)$.

It is also convenient to set

$$C^{m,0}(\bar{\Omega}) := C^m(\bar{\Omega}), \quad C^{m,0}(\Omega) := C^m(\Omega) \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N}. \quad (1.12)$$

In passing notice that $C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ is a dense subset of $C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ and of $L^p(\Omega)$ for any $p \in [1, +\infty[$. This may be proved by convolution with a regularizing kernel.

Some Embeddings. We say that a topological space A is **embedded** into another topological space B whenever $A \subset B$ and the injection operator $A \rightarrow B$ (which is then called an *embedding*) is continuous.

For any $m \in \mathbf{N}$, we have obvious embeddings within the class of C^m -spaces,

$$m \geq \ell \quad \Rightarrow \quad C^m(\bar{\Omega}) \subset C^\ell(\bar{\Omega}), \quad (1.13)$$

as well within that of $C^{m,\lambda}$ -spaces:

$$\nu \leq \lambda \quad \Rightarrow \quad C^{m,\lambda}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset C^{m,\nu}(\bar{\Omega}) \quad \forall m. \quad (1.14)$$

Concerning inclusions between spaces of the two classes, apart from obvious ones like $C^{m,\lambda}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset C^m(\bar{\Omega})$, some regularity is needed for the domain.

Proposition 2.2 *Let either $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^N$, or $\Omega \in C^{0,1}$ and bounded. Then*

$$C^{m+1}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset C^{m,\lambda}(\bar{\Omega}) \quad \forall m, \forall \lambda \in [0, 1]. \quad (1.15)$$

From the latter inclusion, it easily follows that

$$C^{m_2,\lambda_2}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset C^{m_1,\lambda_1}(\bar{\Omega}) \quad \text{if } m_1 < m_2, \forall \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [0, 1]. \quad (1.16)$$

A Counterexample. The next example shows that some regularity is actually needed for (1.15) to hold. Let us set

$$\Omega := \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 < 1, y < |x|^{1/2}\}. \quad (1.17)$$

Of course $\Omega \in C^{0,1/2} \setminus C^{0,\nu}$ for any $\nu > 1/2$. For any $a \in]1, 2[$, the function $v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R} : (x, y) \mapsto (y^+)^a \text{sign}(x)$ belongs to $C^1(\bar{\Omega}) \setminus C^{0,\nu}(\bar{\Omega})$ for any $\nu > a/2$. [Ex]

We just considered embeddings for Banach spaces “on $\bar{\Omega}$ ”. It is easy to see that these results yield the analogous statements for the corresponding Fréchet spaces “on Ω ”.

2. Regularity of Euclidean Domains

Open subsets of \mathbf{R}^N may be very irregular; e.g., consider $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} B(q_n, 2^{-n})$, where $\{q_n\}$ is an enumeration of \mathbf{Q}^N . This set is open and has finite measure, but it is obviously dense in \mathbf{R}^N .

Several notions may be used to define the regularity of a Euclidean open set Ω , or rather that of its boundary Γ . Here we just introduce two of them.

Open Sets of Class $C^{m,\lambda}$. Let us denote by $B_N(x, R)$ the ball of \mathbf{R}^N of center x and radius R . For any $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, we say that Ω is of class $C^{m,\lambda}$ (here $C^{m,0}$ stays for C^m), and write $\Omega \in C^{m,\lambda}$, iff for any $x \in \Gamma$ there exist:

- (i) two positive constants $R = R_x$ and δ_x ,
- (ii) a mapping $\varphi_x : B_{N-1}(x, R) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ of class $C^{m,\lambda}$,
- (iii) a Cartesian system of coordinates y_1, \dots, y_N ,

such that the point x is characterized by $y_1 = \dots = y_N = 0$ in this Cartesian system, and, for any $y' := (y_1, \dots, y_{N-1}) \in B_{N-1}(x, R)$,

$$\begin{aligned} y_N = \varphi(y') & \Rightarrow (y', y_N) \in \Gamma, \\ \varphi(y') < y_N < \varphi(y') + \delta & \Rightarrow (y', y_N) \in \Omega, \\ \varphi(y') - \delta < y_N < \varphi(y') & \Rightarrow (y', y_N) \notin \bar{\Omega}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.16)$$

This means that Γ is an $(N-1)$ -dimensional manifold (without boundary) of class $C^{m,\lambda}$, and that Ω locally stays only on one side of Γ . We say that Ω is a continuous (Lipschitz, Hölder, resp.) open set whenever it is of class C^0 ($C^{0,1}$, $C^{0,\lambda}$ for some $\lambda \in]0, 1]$, resp.).⁽³⁾

For instance, the domain

$$\Omega_{a,b,\lambda} := \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : x > 0, ax^{1/\lambda} < y < bx^{1/\lambda}\} \quad \forall \lambda \leq 1, \forall a, b \in \mathbf{R}, a < b \quad (1.17)$$

⁽³⁾ This notation refers to the Hölder spaces, that are defined half-a-page ahead ...

is of class $C^{0,\lambda}$ iff $a < 0 < b$. [Ex]

We say that Ω is **uniformly of class $C^{m,\lambda}$** iff

$$\Omega \in C^{m,\lambda}, \quad \inf_{x \in \Gamma} R_x > 0, \quad \inf_{x \in \Gamma} \delta_x > 0, \quad \sup_{x \in \Gamma} \|\varphi_x\|_{C^{m,\lambda}(B_{N-1}(x,R))} < +\infty. \quad (1.18)$$

For instance, by compactness, this is fulfilled by any bounded domain Ω of class $C^{m,\lambda}$. [Ex]

Cone Property. The above notion of regularity of open sets is not completely satisfactory, in that it excludes sets like e.g. a ball with deleted center. We then introduce a further regularity notion.

We say that Ω has the cone property iff there exist $a, b > 0$ such that, defining the finite open cone

$$C_{a,b} := \{x := (x_1, \dots, x_N) : x_1^2 + \dots + x_{N-1}^2 \leq bx_N^2, 0 < x_N < a\},$$

any point of Ω is the vertex of a cone contained in Ω and congruent to $C_{a,b}$. For instance, any ball with deleted center and the plane sets

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1 &:= \{(\rho, \theta) : 1 < \rho < 2, 0 < \theta < 2\pi\} && (\rho, \theta : \text{polar coordinates}), \\ \Omega_2 &:= \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : |x|, |y| < 1, x \neq 0\} \end{aligned} \quad (1.19)$$

have the cone property, but are not of class C^0 . [Ex]

Proposition 2.1 *Any bounded Lipschitz domain has the cone property.* [Ex]

For unbounded Lipschitz domains this may fail; $\Omega := \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : x > 1, 0 < y < 1/x\}$ is a counterexample. Note that a domain Ω is bounded whenever it has the cone property and $|\Omega| < +\infty$. \square

3. Sobolev Spaces of Positive Integer Order

In this section we introduce the Sobolev spaces of positive integer order, which consist of the complex-valued functions defined on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^N$ that fulfill certain integrability properties jointly with their distributional derivatives. We then see how these functions can be extended to \mathbf{R}^N preserving their Sobolev regularity, and approximate them by smooth functions.

Sobolev Spaces of Positive Integer Order. Henceforth we shall denote by D derivatives in the sense of distributions. For any domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^N$, any $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and any $p \in [1, +\infty]$, we set

$$W^{m,p}(\Omega) := \{v \in L^p(\Omega) : D^\alpha v \in L^p(\Omega), \forall \alpha \in \mathbf{N}^N, |\alpha| \leq m\}. \quad (3.1)$$

(Thus $W^{0,p}(\Omega) := L^p(\Omega)$.) This is a vector space over \mathbf{C} , that we equip with the norm

$$\|v\|_{W^{m,p}(\Omega)} := \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D^\alpha v\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \right)^{1/p} \quad \forall p \in [1, +\infty[, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\|v\|_{W^{m,\infty}(\Omega)} := \max_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D^\alpha v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}. \quad (3.3)$$

We shall also write $\|\cdot\|_{m,p}$ in place of $\|\cdot\|_{W^{m,p}(\Omega)}$. Equipped with the topology induced by this norm, $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ is called a **Sobolev space of order m** (and of integrability p).

By Proposition 1.1, in $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ the p -norm is equivalent to any other q -norm:

$$\left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D^\alpha v\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^q \right)^{1/q} \quad \text{if } 1 \leq q < +\infty, \quad \max_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D^\alpha v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \quad \text{if } q = \infty,$$

The equivalent 1-norm $\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D^\alpha v\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$ may also be used.

The next result follows from Proposition 1.1.

• **Proposition 3.1** *For any $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and any $p \in [1, +\infty]$ the following occurs:*

(i) $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space over \mathbf{C} .

(ii) If $1 \leq p < +\infty$, $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ is separable.

(iii) If $1 < p < +\infty$, $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ is uniformly convex (hence reflexive).

(iv) $\|\cdot\|_{m,2}$ is a Hilbert norm. $W^{m,2}(\Omega)$ (which is usually denoted by $H^m(\Omega)$) is then a Hilbert space, equipped with the scalar product

$$(u, v) := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\Omega} D^\alpha u \overline{D^\alpha v} dx \quad \forall u, v \in W^{m,2}(\Omega). \quad (3.4)$$

(v) If $p \neq \infty$, then for any $F \in W^{m,p}(\Omega)'$ there exists a family $\{f_\alpha\}_{|\alpha| \leq m} \subset L^{p'}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\langle F, v \rangle = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\Omega} f_\alpha D^\alpha v dx \quad \forall v \in W^{m,p}(\Omega). \quad (3.5)$$

This entails that

$$\|F\|_{W^{m,p}(\Omega)'} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|f_\alpha\|_{L^{p'}(\Omega)}^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \quad \text{if } p \in]1, +\infty[, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\|F\|_{W^{m,1}(\Omega)'} = \max_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|f_\alpha\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}. \quad (3.7)$$

Conversely, for any family $\{f_\alpha\}_{|\alpha| \leq m}$ as above, (3.5) defines a functional $F \in W^{m,p}(\Omega)'$.

Extension Operators. We call a linear operator $E : L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ a **(totally) regular extension operator** iff

(i) $Eu = u$ a.e. in Ω for any $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^N)$, and

(ii) for any $m \in \mathbf{N}$, E is a regular m -extension operator. By this we mean that for any $p \in [1, +\infty]$, (the restriction of) E is continuous from $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ to $W^{m,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ for any $p \in [1, +\infty]$; that is, there exists a constant $C_{m,p}$ such that

$$\|Eu\|_{W^{m,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)} \leq C_{m,p} \|u\|_{W^{[s],p}(\Omega)} \quad \forall u \in W^{m,p}(\Omega).$$

For instance the *trivial extension*

$$\tilde{u} := u \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \tilde{u} := 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \quad (3.8)$$

is not a regular extension operator, whenever Ω is regular enough. For instance, if Ω is a ball then $u \equiv 1 \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, but obviously $\tilde{u} \notin W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$. (Loosely speaking, the radial derivative of \tilde{u} has a Dirac measure concentrated along $\partial\Omega$, so that $\nabla \tilde{u}$ is not even locally integrable.)

• **Theorem 3.2** (*Calderón-Stein*) *For any uniformly-Lipschitz domain of \mathbf{R}^N , there exists a regular extension operator. \square*

We illustrate the necessity of assuming some regularity for the domain Ω by means of two counterexamples.

Example 3.1. Let us set $Q :=]0, 1]^2$, fix any $\lambda \in]0, 1[$, and set

$$\Omega := \{(x, y) \in Q : y > x^\lambda\}, \quad u_\gamma(x, y) := y^{-\gamma} \quad \forall (x, y) \in \Omega, \forall \gamma > 0. \quad (3.9)$$

For any $p \in [1, +\infty[$ a direct calculation shows that

$$u_\gamma \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \iff p(\gamma + 1) < 1 + \lambda^{-1}. \quad [Ex] \quad (3.10)$$

Let us now assume that $(0 <)\gamma < (1 + \lambda^{-1})/2 - 1$, namely $2(\gamma + 1) < 1 + \lambda^{-1}$; the inequality in (3.10) is then fulfilled by some $\tilde{p} > 2$. On the other hand $W^{1,\tilde{p}}(Q) \subset L^\infty(Q)$, by a result that we shall see in Sect. 3 (cf. Morrey's Theorem). Therefore the unbounded function u_γ cannot be extended to any element of $W^{1,\tilde{p}}(Q)$.

This example shows that, even for bounded domains, in Theorem 3.2 the hypothesis of Lipschitz regularity of Ω cannot be replaced by the uniform $C^{0,\lambda}$ -regularity for any $\lambda \in]0, 1[$. Note that for $\lambda = 1$ this construction fails, and actually in that case the Calderón-Stein Theorem 3.2 applies.

Example 3.2. Let us set (using polar coordinates (ρ, θ) besides the Cartesian coordinates (x, y))

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : 1 < \rho(x, y) < 2, 0 < \theta(x, y) < 2\pi\}, \\ u : \Omega &\rightarrow \mathbf{R} : (x, y) \mapsto \theta(x, y). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

notice that $u \in W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ for any $m \in \mathbf{N}$ (actually, $u \in W^{m,p}(\Omega) \cap C^\infty(\Omega)$!), but it cannot be extended to any $w \in W^{m,p}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ for any $m \geq 1$. Actually Ω fulfills the cone property, but is not even of class C^0 .

Extension results are often applied to generalize to $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ properties that are known to hold for $W^{m,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$. As the restriction operator is obviously continuous from $W^{m,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ to $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$, under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2, $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ consists exactly of the restriction of the functions of $W^{m,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$. The next statement then follows.

Corollary 3.3' *Let Ω be a uniformly-Lipschitz domain of \mathbf{R}^N . For any $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and any $p \in [1, +\infty]$, one can equip $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ with the equivalent quotient norm*

$$\|v\| := \inf\{\|w\|_{W^{m,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)} : w \in W^{m,p}(\mathbf{R}^N), w|_\Omega = v\} \quad \forall v \in W^{m,p}(\Omega). \quad [Ex] \quad (3.12)$$

Density results.

• **Theorem 3.4** *Let $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and $p \in [1, +\infty[$.*

- (i) (Meyers and Serrin) *For any domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^N$, $C^\infty(\Omega) \cap W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ is dense in $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$.*
- (ii) *If Ω is uniformly-Lipschitz, then $\mathcal{D}(\bar{\Omega})$ is dense in $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$. $\square^{(4)}$*

It is easy to see that for $p = \infty$ both statements fail (even for $m = 0$).

As for the regularity of Ω , it is easily seen that part (i) holds for the functions defined in Examples 3.1 and 3.2, whereas part (ii) fails.

Proposition 3.5 (Calculus Rules) *Let Ω be any domain of \mathbf{R}^N and $p \in [1, +\infty]$.*

- (i) *For any $u, v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{p'}(\Omega)$,*

$$uv \in W^{1,1}(\Omega), \quad \nabla(uv) = (\nabla u)v + u\nabla v \quad a.e. \text{ in } \Omega. \quad (3.13)$$

- (ii) *For any Lipschitz-continuous function $F : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and any $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $^{(4)}$*

$$F(u) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad \nabla F(u) = F'(u)\nabla u \quad a.e. \text{ in } \Omega. \quad (3.14)$$

⁽⁴⁾ By $\mathcal{D}(\bar{\Omega})$ we denote the space of restrictions to Ω of functions of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^N)$. Equivalently, $\mathcal{D}(\bar{\Omega})$ is the space of functions $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ that can be extended to elements of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^N)$.

⁽⁴⁾ We set $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega) := \{v \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) : \varphi v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega), \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)\}$. Like $L_{\text{loc}}^p(\Omega)$, this is not a normed space.

By Theorem 3.4(i) both statements can be proved via regularization. [Ex]

For any $h \in \mathbf{R}^N$ and any $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^N$, let us denote by τ_h the shift operator $v \mapsto v(\cdot + h)$.

Theorem 3.6 For any $p \in [1, +\infty]$,

$$v \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|\tau_h v - v\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^N)} \leq |h| \|\nabla v\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^N)} \quad \forall h \in \mathbf{R}^N. \quad (3.15)$$

The converse holds if $p > 1$; that is, $v \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ whenever $v \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^N)$ and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $h \in \mathbf{R}^N$, $\|\tau_h v - v\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^N)} \leq C|h|$. \square It is easily seen that this converse statement fails for $p = 1$ and $v = H$ (the Heaviside function).

* *Proof.* For $p = \infty$ the result is obvious; let us then assume that $p < +\infty$. By the Jensen inequality we have

$$|\tau_h v(x) - v(x)|^p = \left| \int_0^1 h \cdot \nabla v(x + th) dt \right|^p \leq |h|^p \int_0^1 |\nabla v(x + th)|^p dt \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbf{R}^N;$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau_h v - v\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^N)}^p &\leq |h|^p \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} dx \int_0^1 |\nabla v(x + th)|^p dt \\ &= |h|^p \int_0^1 dt \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} |\nabla v(x + th)|^p dx = |h|^p \int_0^1 dt \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} |\nabla v(x)|^p dx = |h|^p \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} |\nabla v(x)|^p dx. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

The Reflection Method. We conclude this section by illustrating a technique that yields regular m -extension operators, for any integer $m \geq 1$. For any $x \in \mathbf{R}^N$, let us set $x := (x', x_N)$ with $x' \in \mathbf{R}^{N-1}$ and $x_N \in \mathbf{R}$, and $\mathbf{R}_+^N := \{(x', x_N) \in \mathbf{R}^N : x_N > 0\}$.

Theorem 3.7 Let $\Omega = \mathbf{R}_+^N$. For any $m \geq 1$, there exist $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbf{R}$ such that, defining

$$Eu(x) := \begin{cases} u(x) & \text{if } x_N > 0 \\ \sum_{j=1}^m a_j u(x', -jx_N) & \text{if } x_N < 0 \end{cases} \quad \forall u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbf{R}_+^N), \quad (3.16)$$

E is a regular m -extension operator.

* *Proof.* For any $p \in [1, +\infty[$ and any $u \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathbf{R}_+^N})$, any derivative of $Eu \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^N)$ of order up to m is uniformly bounded in $\mathbf{R}^N \setminus (\mathbf{R}^{N-1} \times \{0\})$. It is then clear that $Eu \in W^{m,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ iff all derivatives of Eu of order up to $m - 1$ match a.e. along the hyperplane $\mathbf{R}^{N-1} \times \{0\}$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x_N \rightarrow 0^+} D_N^\ell D_{x'}^\beta Eu(x', x_N) &= \lim_{x_N \rightarrow 0^-} D_N^\ell D_{x'}^\beta u(x', x_N) \\ &\text{for a.e. } x' \in \mathbf{R}^{N-1}, \forall \ell \in \mathbf{N}, \forall \beta \in \mathbf{N}^{N-1} : \ell + |\beta| < m. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

As

$$\begin{aligned} D_N^\ell D_{x'}^\beta Eu(x', x_N) &= \sum_{j=1}^m (-j)^\ell a_j D_N^\ell D_{x'}^\beta u(x', -jx_N) \\ &\quad \forall x' \in \mathbf{R}^{N-1}, \forall x_N < 0, \end{aligned}$$

(3.16) is tantamount to

$$\begin{aligned} D_N^\ell D_{x'}^\beta Eu(x', 0) &= \sum_{j=1}^m (-j)^\ell a_j D_N^\ell D_{x'}^\beta u(x', 0) \\ &\text{for a.e. } x' \in \mathbf{R}^{N-1}, \forall \ell \in \mathbf{N}, \forall \beta \in \mathbf{N}^{N-1} : \ell + |\beta| < m. \end{aligned}$$

By the arbitrariness of $u \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathbf{R}_+^N})$, this holds iff

$$\sum_{j=1}^m (-j)^\ell a_j = 1 \quad \text{for } \ell = 0, \dots, m-1. \quad (3.18)$$

This is a linear system of m equations with matrix $M = \{(-j)^{i-1}\}_{i,j=1,\dots,m}$ for the unknowns a_1, \dots, a_m . The matrix M is of the Vandermonde class, hence it is nonsingular. Therefore this system has exactly one solution.

By Theorem 3.4 the space $\mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathbf{R}_+^N})$ is dense in $W^{m,p}(\mathbf{R}_+^N)$. E thus maps $\mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathbf{R}_+^N})$ to $W^{m,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$. Finally, E is continuous, since

$$\|Eu\|_{W^{m,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)} \leq (1 + m \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \max_{0 \leq \ell \leq m-1} j^\ell |a_j|) \|u\|_{W^{m,p}(\mathbf{R}_+^N)} \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{\mathbf{R}_+^N}).$$

Therefore E may be extended to a (unique) continuous operator $W^{m,p}(\mathbf{R}_+^N) \rightarrow W^{m,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$. \square

The latter result may also be generalized to domains of class C^m , by partition of the unity and local charts. (We shall not display this argument.)

4. Sobolev Spaces of Real Order

By part (ii) of Theorem 3.4, $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ is dense in $W^{m,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ for any $p \in [1, +\infty[$ and any $m \geq 1$. This holds for no other domain of class C^0 ; we just illustrate this issue via a simple example.

Let Ω be an open ball of \mathbf{R}^N , and set $u \equiv 1$ in Ω ; obviously $u \in W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ for any $m \geq 1$ and any $p \in [1, +\infty[$. By contradiction, let us assume that it is possible to approximate u in the topology of $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ by means of a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$. The trivial extension operator $v \mapsto \tilde{v}$ (cf. (3.8)) is continuous from $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ to $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ w.r.t. the $W^{m,p}$ -topologies, for it obviously maps Cauchy sequences to Cauchy sequences; hence $\tilde{u}_n \rightarrow \tilde{u}$ in $W^{m,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$. But it is clear that $\tilde{u} \notin W^{m,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$. Thus $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is not dense in $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$.

On account of this negative result, we set

$$W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) := \text{closure of } \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \text{ in } W^{m,p}(\Omega) \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N}, \forall p \in [1, +\infty[, \quad (4.1)$$

for any domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^N$, and equip this space with the same norm as $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$. The properties of Proposition 3.1 also hold for $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$, which indeed is a closed subspace of $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$. From this discussion we infer that $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^N$ is the only domain of class C^0 such that $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) = W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ for any $m > 0$.

By the next statement, for any $m > 1$ the functions of $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$ may be regarded as vanishing on $\partial\Omega$ jointly with their derivatives up to order $m-1$. (Under suitable regularity assumptions for Ω , this property might be restated in terms of *traces* — a notion that we introduce ahead, where the regularity condition “ $u \in C^{m-1}(\bar{\Omega})$ ” will be dropped.)

Proposition 4.1 *Let the domain Ω be of class C^m , $m \geq 1$ be an integer, and $1 \leq p < +\infty$. Then*

$$(D^\alpha u)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \quad \forall u \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega) \cap C^{m-1}(\bar{\Omega}), \forall \alpha \in \mathbf{N}^N, |\alpha| \leq m-1. \quad (4.1')$$

Partial Proof. We shall prove this statement just for $m = 1$, via procedure that however may be extended to $m > 1$. We shall also confine ourselves to the case of $\Omega = \mathbf{R}_+^N$ ($:= \{(x', x_N) \in \mathbf{R}^N : x_N > 0\}$). The result may then be extended to more general sets via partition of unity (by a method that we shall illustrate ahead).

Let $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}_+^N) \cap C^0(\overline{\mathbf{R}_+^N})$, and $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}_+^N)$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}_+^N)$. Thus

$$u_n(x', x_N) = \int_0^{x_N} D_N u_n(x', t) dt \quad \forall (x', x_N) \in \mathbf{R}_+^N, \forall n. \quad (4.1')$$

As $D_N u_n \rightarrow D_N u$ in $L^p(\mathbf{R}_+^N)$, this equality is preserved in the limit. Hence $u_n(x', 0) = 0$ for any $x' \in \mathbf{R}^{N-1}$. \square

Sobolev Spaces of Negative Order. Next we set

$$W^{-m,p'}(\Omega) := W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)' \quad (\subset \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)) \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N}, \forall p \in [1, +\infty[, \quad (4.2)$$

and equip it with the dual norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{-m,p'}(\Omega)} := \sup \{ \langle u, v \rangle : v \in W_0^{m,p}(\Omega), \|v\|_{W^{m,p}(\Omega)} = 1 \}$$

(here by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ we denote the pairing between $W^{-m,p'}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{m,p}(\Omega)$).⁽⁴⁾

The Sobolev spaces of negative order inherit several properties from their preduals.

Proposition 4.2 *For any $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and any $p \in [1, +\infty[$, $W^{-m,p'}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space.*

(i) *If $1 < p < +\infty$, $W^{-m,p'}(\Omega)$ is separable and reflexive.*

(ii) *$\|\cdot\|_{-m,2}$ is a Hilbert norm, and $W^{-m,2}(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space (that is usually denoted by $H^{-m}(\Omega)$).*

Proposition 4.3 *(Characterization of Sobolev Spaces of Negative Integer Order) For any $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and any $p \in [1, +\infty[$,*

$$F \in W^{-m,p'}(\Omega) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists \{f_\alpha\}_{|\alpha| \leq m} \subset L^{p'}(\Omega) : F = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega). \quad (4.3)$$

[This representation of F need not be unique.]

Proof. By the Hahn-Banach theorem any $F \in W^{-m,p'}(\Omega)$ can be extended to a functional $\tilde{F} \in W^{m,p}(\Omega)'$. By part (v) of Proposition 3.1 then there exists a family $\{f_\alpha\}_{|\alpha| \leq m}$ in $L^{p'}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\langle \tilde{F}, v \rangle = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_\Omega f_\alpha D^\alpha v dx \quad \forall v \in W^{m,p}(\Omega),$$

Restricting this equality to $v \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, we then get $F = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha f_\alpha$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$.

Conversely, any distribution of this form is obviously a functional of $W^{-m,p'}(\Omega)$. \square

Sobolev Spaces of Positive Noninteger Order. Let us fix any $p \in [1, +\infty[$, any $\lambda \in]0, 1[$, set

$$[a_{\lambda,p}(v)](x, y) := \frac{v(x) - v(y)}{|x - y|^{\frac{N}{p} + \lambda}} \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega (x \neq y), \forall v \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega), \quad (4.4)$$

$$W^{\lambda,p}(\Omega) := \{v \in L^p(\Omega) : a_{\lambda,p}(v) \in L^p(\Omega^2)\}, \quad (4.5)$$

and equip this space with the p -norm of the graph

$$\|v\|_{\lambda,p} := \left(\|v\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p + \|a_{\lambda,p}(v)\|_{L^p(\Omega^2)}^p \right)^{1/p}. \quad (4.6)$$

⁽⁴⁾ Notice that we have thus defined $W^{-m,q}(\Omega)$ only for $1 < q \leq +\infty$, and that for $m = 0$ we retrieve $W^{0,p'}(\Omega) = L^{p'}(\Omega)$.

In order to complete this picture we also set

$$W^{\lambda,\infty}(\Omega) := C^{0,\lambda}(\bar{\Omega}) \quad \forall \lambda \in]0, 1[. \quad (4.7)$$

For $\lambda = 1$ this equality holds [as a result, not as a definition!], only if the domain Ω is regular enough. (See (1.15) and the related counterexample; see also ahead.)

Let us next fix any positive $m \in \mathbf{N}$, and, still for any $p \in [1, +\infty[$, set

$$W^{m+\lambda,p}(\Omega) := \{v \in W^{m,p}(\Omega) : D^\alpha v \in W^{\lambda,p}(\Omega), \forall \alpha \in \mathbf{N}^N, |\alpha| = m\}; \quad (4.8)$$

this is a normed space over \mathbf{C} equipped with the p -norm of the graph

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{m+\lambda,p} &:= \left(\|v\|_{m,p}^p + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^\alpha v\|_{\lambda,p}^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\Omega} |D^\alpha v|^p dx + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \iint_{\Omega^2} |[a_{\lambda,p}(D^\alpha v)](x,y)|^p dx dy \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Let us also set

$$W^{m+\lambda,\infty}(\Omega) := C^{m,\lambda}(\bar{\Omega}) \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N}, \forall \lambda \in]0, 1[. \quad (4.10)$$

The spaces $W^{m+\lambda,p}(\Omega)$ are called *Sobolev spaces of fractional order* (sometimes just *fractional Sobolev spaces*), or also *Slobodeckii spaces*.

Proposition 4.4 *For any $s \in \mathbf{R}^+$, the following occurs:*

- (i) *If any $p \in [1, +\infty[$, $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space over \mathbf{C} . equipped with the norm of the graph.*
- (ii) *If $p < +\infty$, $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ is separable.*
- (iii) *If $1 < p < +\infty$, $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ is uniformly convex (hence reflexive).*
- (iv) *$\|\cdot\|_{s,2}$ is a Hilbert norm. $W^{s,2}(\Omega)$ (that will be denoted by $H^s(\Omega)$) is a Hilbert space, equipped with the scalar product (here by m we denote the integer part of s)*

$$(u, v) := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\Omega} D^\alpha u(x) \overline{D^\alpha v(x)} dx + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \iint_{\Omega^2} [a_{\lambda,2}(D^\alpha u)](x,y) [a_{\lambda,2}(D^\alpha v)](x,y) dx dy \quad (4.11)$$

$$\forall u, v \in W^{s,2}(\Omega).$$

Outline of the Proof. If $p = +\infty$ we already know that $W^{m+\lambda,\infty}(\Omega) := C^{m,\lambda}(\bar{\Omega})$ is a Banach space. If $p < +\infty$, we set

$$L_1(v) := \{D^\alpha v : |\alpha| \leq m\}, \quad L_2(v) := \{a_{\lambda,p}(D^\alpha v) : |\alpha| = m\} \quad \forall v \in L^p(\Omega);$$

the thesis then follows by applying Proposition 1.1. □

Proposition 4.5 *Let Ω be any nonempty domain of \mathbf{R}^N , and set $\Omega_n := \{x \in \Omega : d(x, \mathbf{R}^N \setminus \Omega) > 1/n\}$ for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then*

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega_n)} \rightarrow \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)} \quad \forall u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega), \forall s \geq 0, \forall p \in [1, +\infty]. \quad (4.12)$$

Outline of the Proof. With no loss of generality one may assume that Ω is bounded. For $p \neq \infty$, the statement then follows from the absolute continuity of the integral. For $p = \infty$ the proof is even simpler. [Ex] □

Sobolev Spaces of Negative Noninteger Order. This construction mimics that of Sobolev spaces of negative integer order. First we set

$$W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) := \text{closure of } \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \text{ in } W^{s,p}(\Omega) \quad \forall s \geq 0, \forall p \in [1, +\infty[, \quad (4.13)$$

and equip it with the topology induced by $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$. The properties stated in Proposition 3.1 hold also for $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$.⁽⁵⁾ This is a normal space of distributions, hence its dual is also a space of distributions. We then set

$$W^{-s,p'}(\Omega) := W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)' \quad (\subset \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)) \quad \forall s \geq 0, \forall p \in [1, +\infty[, \quad (4.14)$$

and equip it with the dual norm

$$\|u\|_{-s,p'} := \sup \{ \langle u, v \rangle : v \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega), \|v\|_{s,p} = 1 \}.$$

A result analogous to Proposition 4.2 holds for $W^{-s,p'}(\Omega)$.

We have thus completed the definition of the *scale* of Sobolev spaces. In the next statement we gather their main properties.

Proposition 4.7 *Let $s \in \mathbf{R}$ and $p \in]1, +\infty]$ (with $p = 1$ included if $s \geq 0$). Then:*

- (i) $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space over \mathbf{C} .
- (ii) If $p < +\infty$, $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ is separable.
- (iii) If $1 < p < +\infty$, $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ is reflexive.
- (iv) $\|\cdot\|_{s,2}$ is a Hilbert norm, and $W^{s,2}(\Omega)$ ($=: H^s(\Omega)$) is a Hilbert space.
- (v) If $s \geq 0$, the same properties hold for $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$, the closure of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ in $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$.

Let us set

$$W_{\text{loc}}^{s,p}(\Omega) := \{v \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) : \varphi v \in W^{s,p}(\Omega), \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)\} \quad \forall s \in \mathbf{R}, \forall p \in [1, +\infty]. \quad (4.15)$$

This is a Fréchet space, equipped with the family of seminorms $\{v \mapsto \|\varphi v\|_{s,p} : \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)\}$; indeed this topology can be generated by a countable family of these seminorms.

*** Other Classes of Sobolev-Type Spaces.** There are also other Sobolev-type spaces of noninteger order. For instance, one may interpolate the Sobolev spaces of integer order, or use the Fourier transformation. By the latter method one sets⁽⁶⁾

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}^{s,p} &:= \{v \in \mathcal{S}' : \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2} \mathcal{F}(v)] \in L^p\} \quad \forall s \in \mathbf{R}, \forall p \in [1, +\infty], \\ \|v\|_{\tilde{H}^{s,p}} &= \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[(1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2} \mathcal{F}(v)]\|_{L^p} \quad \forall v \in \tilde{H}^{s,p}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

These are known as *spaces of Bessel potentials* (or just *Bessel potentials*), or *Lebesgue spaces*, or *Liouville spaces*, or *Lizorkin spaces*, and so on...⁽⁷⁾

These are Banach spaces. If $p \in [1, +\infty[$ this space is separable, if $p \in]1, +\infty[$ it is reflexive. $\tilde{H}^{s,2}$ is a Hilbert space and is denoted by \tilde{H}^s . In the definition of the latter space, the inverse transformation \mathcal{F}^{-1} may be dropped, since \mathcal{F} is an isometry in L^2 .

For $p = 2$ the Plancherel theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} uv \, dx &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \hat{u} \hat{v} \, d\xi = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} [(1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2} \hat{u}] [(1 + |\xi|^2)^{-s/2} \hat{v}] \, d\xi \\ &\leq \|u\|_{\tilde{H}^s} \|v\|_{\tilde{H}^{-s}} \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{S}, \forall s \in \mathbf{R}; \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

⁽⁵⁾ Theorems 3.2—3.4 hold for fractional indices, too. \square

⁽⁶⁾ We still write L^p instead of $L^p(\mathbf{R}^N)$ and similarly, and denote the *Fourier transform* of any $v \in \mathcal{S}'$ by $\mathcal{F}(v)$ or \hat{v} .

⁽⁷⁾ This class of spaces is so natural, that one may expect that they have been discovered over and over.

Hence $\tilde{H}^{-s} \subset (\tilde{H}^s)'$ with continuous injection. The opposite inclusion may also be proved. \square

For any sufficiently smooth domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^N$ (e.g. uniformly of Lipschitz class), the spaces $\tilde{H}^{s,p}(\Omega)$ are defined as follows, in analogy with (3.12):

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}^{s,p}(\Omega) &= \{w|_{\Omega} : w \in \tilde{H}^{s,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)\} \quad \forall s \in \mathbf{R}, \forall p \in]1, +\infty[, \\ \|v\|_{\tilde{H}^{s,p}(\Omega)} &= \inf \{\|w\|_{\tilde{H}^{s,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)} : w|_{\Omega} = v\} \quad \forall v \in \tilde{H}^{s,p}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

On the basis of the next statement, these spaces may be regarded as an alternative to Sobolev spaces of real order.

Theorem 4.6 *For any domain Ω uniformly of Lipschitz class, the following holds:*

(i) *For any $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ and any $p \in]1, +\infty[$, $\tilde{H}^{m,p}(\Omega) = W^{m,p}(\Omega)$.*

(ii) *For any $s \in \mathbf{R}$, $\tilde{H}^s(\Omega) = H^s(\Omega)$.*

(iii) *The classes of the spaces $\tilde{H}^{s,p}(\Omega)$ and $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ are contiguous (in the sense of Gagliardo), that is,*

$$H^{s+\varepsilon,p}(\Omega) \subset W^{s,p}(\Omega) \subset H^{s-\varepsilon,p}(\Omega) \quad \forall s \in \mathbf{R}, \forall p \in]1, +\infty[, \forall \varepsilon > 0. \quad (4.19)$$

However, $H^{s,p}(\Omega) \neq W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ whenever $s \notin \mathbf{Z}$ and $p \neq 2$.

Partial Proof. It suffices to prove these results for $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^N$. The proof of the statement (ii) may be found e.g. in [Baiocchi-Capelo, p. 76-79]. Here we just show that

$$\tilde{H}^m = H^m \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{Z}. \quad (4.20)$$

The equivalence between the norms of \tilde{H}^m and H^m is easily checked, since for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^N$ $\mathcal{F}(D^\alpha u) = (i\xi)^\alpha \hat{u}$, whence by the Plancherel theorem

$$\|D^\alpha u\|_{L^2} = \|\mathcal{F}(D^\alpha u)\|_{L^2} = \|\xi^\alpha \hat{u}\|_{L^2}.$$

Moreover

$$\exists C_1, C_2 > 0 : \forall \alpha \in \mathbf{N}^N, \forall \xi \in \mathbf{R}^N, \quad C_1(1 + |\xi|^2)^{|\alpha|/2} \leq 1 + |\xi|^{|\alpha|} \leq C_2(1 + |\xi|^2)^{|\alpha|/2}. \quad [Ex]$$

By the definition of the norm of $\tilde{H}^m(\Omega)$, it follows that $\tilde{H}^m(\Omega) = H^s(\Omega)$. \square

5. Sobolev and Morrey Embeddings

Basic Embeddings. Obviously

$$|\Omega| < +\infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad C^m(\bar{\Omega}) \subset W^{m,p}(\Omega) \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N}, \forall p \in [1, +\infty], \quad (5.1)$$

with strict inclusion, and $C^{m,1}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset W^{m+1,\infty}(\Omega)$ for any domain Ω . Moreover

$$\Omega \in C^0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad C^{m,1}(\bar{\Omega}) = W^{m+1,\infty}(\Omega) \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N}. \quad \square \quad (5.2)$$

The following simple counterexample shows that the latter equality fails if Ω is not regular enough. Let Ω_1 be as in (2.4), and set $u(\rho, \theta) = \theta$ for any $(\rho, \theta) \in \Omega_1$. Then $u \in W^{m,p}(\Omega_1)$ for any $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and any $p \in [1, +\infty]$, but $u \notin C^0(\bar{\Omega}_1)$. Actually the domain Ω_1 fulfills the cone property but is not of class C^0 .

In (4.10) we already defined

$$W^{m+\lambda,\infty}(\Omega) := C^{m,\lambda}(\bar{\Omega}) \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{N}, \forall \lambda \in]0, 1[. \quad (5.3)$$

Next we compare Sobolev spaces having either different differentiability indices, m , and/or different integrability indices, p . Here we shall confine ourselves to the case of integer differentiability indices, although most of these results take over to real indices.

Proposition 5.1 *For any domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^N$, any $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and any $p_1, p_2 \in [1, +\infty]$,*

$$|\Omega| < +\infty, p_1 < p_2 \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} W^{m,p_2}(\Omega) \subset W^{m,p_1}(\Omega) \\ W_0^{m,p_2}(\Omega) \subset W_0^{m,p_1}(\Omega) \end{array} \quad (\text{with density}). \quad (5.4)$$

For any Ω , the same inclusion holds for the corresponding W_{loc} -spaces.

Proof. (5.4) directly follows from the analogous inclusions between L^p -spaces. \square

Proposition 5.2 *For any $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbf{N}$ and for any $p \in [1, +\infty]$,*

$$m_1 \leq m_2 \Rightarrow W_0^{m_2,p}(\Omega) \subset W_0^{m_1,p}(\Omega) \quad (\text{with density}). \quad (5.5)$$

If moreover Ω is uniformly-Lipschitz, then

$$m_1 \leq m_2 \Rightarrow W^{m_2,p}(\Omega) \subset W^{m_1,p}(\Omega) \quad (\text{with density}). \quad (5.5')$$

For any Ω , the same inclusion holds for the corresponding W_{loc} -spaces.

Proof. These inclusions are obvious. As by Theorem 3.4 $\mathcal{D}(\bar{\Omega})$ is dense in both spaces, the density follows. \square

The Sobolev Theorem. Two further classes of embeddings are of paramount importance in the theory of Sobolev spaces; these are embeddings between Sobolev spaces and from Sobolev to Hölder spaces:

$$W^{r,p}(\Omega) \subset W^{s,q}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad W^{r,p}(\Omega) \subset C^{\ell,\lambda}(\bar{\Omega}) \quad (\text{for suitable indices}). \quad (5.6)$$

These results are first proved for $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^N$ and then generalized to any uniformly-Lipschitz domain via Calderón-Stein's Theorem 3.2.

In Propositions 5.1 and 5.2 we already considered the case in which the indices m and p vary in the same direction. What happens if one of these two indices increased and the other one is decreased? We shall see that, under appropriate restrictions on the integrability indices, the larger is m the smaller is the space. The converse always fails: for any domain Ω ,

$$\forall m_1, m_2 \in \mathbf{N}, \forall p, q \in [1, +\infty], \quad m_1 < m_2 \Rightarrow W^{m_1,p}(\Omega) \not\subset W^{m_2,q}(\Omega). [Ex] \quad (5.7)$$

The same applies if both W -type spaces are replaced by the corresponding W_0 - or W_{loc} -spaces.

Nontrivial embeddings between Sobolev spaces rest on the following fundamental inequality due to Sobolev.

• **Theorem 5.3** (*Sobolev Inequality*) *For any $N > 1$ and any $p \in [1, N[$, there exists a constant $C = C_{N,p} > 0$ such that, setting $p^* := Np/(N-p)$,*

$$\|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbf{R}^N)} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^N)} \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^N). \quad \square \quad (5.8)$$

Although this inequality only applies to functions with bounded support ($u \equiv 1$ is an obvious counterexample), the constant C does not depend on the support.

Proof for $p = 1$ and $N = 2$. In this case the argument is much simpler than in the general setting. For any $u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^2)$,

$$|u(x, y)| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tilde{x}}(\tilde{x}, y) d\tilde{x} \right| \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\nabla u(\tilde{x}, y)| d\tilde{x} \quad \forall (x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2,$$

and similarly $|u(x, y)| \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\nabla u(x, \tilde{y})| d\tilde{y}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} |u(x, y)|^2 dx dy &\leq \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |\nabla u(\tilde{x}, y)| d\tilde{x} \right) \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} |\nabla u(x, \tilde{y})| d\tilde{y} \right) dx dy \\ &= \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} |\nabla u(\tilde{x}, y)| d\tilde{x} dy \iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} |\nabla u(x, \tilde{y})| dx d\tilde{y} \\ &= \left(\iint_{\mathbf{R}^2} |\nabla u(x, y)| dx dy \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^2)}$. Of course $1^* = 2$ for $N = 2$. \square

Remark. If we assume that an inequality of the form (5.8) is fulfilled for some pair p, p^* , then we can establish the relation between p^* and p via the following simple scaling argument. Let us fix any $u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ and set $v_t(x) := u(tx)$ for any $x \in \mathbf{R}^N$ and any $t > 0$. Writing (5.8) for v_t we get

$$t^{-N/p^*} \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbf{R}^N)} \leq C t^{1-N/p} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^N)} \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^N), \forall t > 0. [Ex]$$

This inequality may hold for all $t > 0$ only if $-N/p^* = 1 - N/p$, that is, $p^* := Np/(N - p)$.

Sobolev Embeddings. As obviously $\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^N)} \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)}$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ is dense in $W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)$, the Sobolev inequality (5.8) entails that

$$\|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbf{R}^N)} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N)} \quad \forall u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N).$$

This yields the basic Sobolev imbedding

$$W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N) \subset L^{p^*}(\mathbf{R}^N) \quad (= : W^{0,p^*}(\mathbf{R}^N)) \quad \forall p \in [1, N[, \forall N > 1. \quad (5.9)$$

On this basis one can prove the following more general result.

• **Theorem 5.4** (*Sobolev Embeddings*) *Let Ω be a uniformly-Lipschitz domain of \mathbf{R}^N . For any $\ell, m \in \mathbf{N}$ and any $p, q \in [1, +\infty]$,*

$$p \leq q, \quad \ell - \frac{N}{q} \leq m - \frac{N}{p} \quad \Rightarrow \quad W^{m,p}(\Omega) \subset W^{\ell,q}(\Omega) \quad (5.10)$$

with continuous injection, and also with density if $q \neq +\infty$.

These statements hold for any domain Ω if both W -spaces are replaced either by the corresponding W_0 -spaces, or by the corresponding W_{loc} -spaces. In these two cases Ω may be any domain of \mathbf{R}^N .

Proof. On account of the regularity of Ω , by the Calderón-Stein's Theorem 3.2 it suffices to prove the inclusion for $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^N$. It also suffices to deal with $m = 1$ and $\ell = 0$, since by applying this result iteratively one can then get it in general.

Notice that

$$p \leq q \leq p^* \quad \Rightarrow \quad W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N) \subset L^p(\mathbf{R}^N) \cap L^{p^*}(\mathbf{R}^N) \subset L^q(\mathbf{R}^N)$$

The first inclusion follows from the trivial embedding $W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N) \subset L^p(\mathbf{R}^N)$ and the Sobolev embedding (5.9); the second inclusion is easily checked. [Ex] We conclude that $W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^N) \subset L^q(\mathbf{R}^N)$ whenever $p \leq q \leq p^*$.

We claim that the injection operator $j : W^{m,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{\ell,q}(\Omega)$ is continuous. By the Closed Graph Theorem, it suffices to show that the set $G := \{(v, jv) : v \in W^{m,p}(\Omega)\}$ is closed in $W^{m,p}(\Omega) \times W^{\ell,q}(\Omega)$. Now, if $(v_n, jv_n) \rightarrow (v, w)$ in the latter space, then there exists a subsequence $\{v_{n'}\}$ such that $v_{n'} \rightarrow v$ a.e. in Ω . Hence $w = jv$ a.e. in Ω . \square

Remarks. (i) We have $p \leq q$ and $\ell - N/q \leq m - N/p$ only if $\ell \leq m$, consistently with (5.7).

(ii) If $|\Omega| < +\infty$, then in (5.10) the hypothesis $p \leq q$ may be replaced by $\ell \leq m$. [Ex]

Morrey Embeddings. Next we come to our second important class of embeddings, that read $W^{m,p}(\Omega) \subset C^{\ell,\lambda}(\bar{\Omega})$ under suitable hypotheses on m, p, ℓ, λ . By an inclusion like this we mean that for any $v \in W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ there exists a (necessarily unique) $\hat{v} \in C^{\ell,\lambda}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $\hat{v} = v$ a.e. in Ω . That is, the equivalence class associated to any element of $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ contains one (and only one) function of $C^{\ell,\lambda}(\bar{\Omega})$. Henceforth we shall systematically assume this convention.

The next result applies to the case of $(m-\ell)p > N$, which is not covered by Sobolev's Theorem 5.4.

• **Theorem 5.5** (*Morrey Embeddings*) *Let Ω be a uniformly-Lipschitz domain of \mathbf{R}^N , $\ell, m \in \mathbf{N}$, $1 \leq p < +\infty$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$. Then*

$$\ell + \lambda \leq m - \frac{N}{p} \quad \Rightarrow \quad W^{m,p}(\Omega) \subset C^{\ell,\lambda}(\bar{\Omega}). \quad (5.11)$$

Moreover, ⁽⁸⁾

$$W^{m+N,1}(\Omega) \subset C_b^m(\Omega). \quad (5.12)$$

In both cases the corresponding injection is continuous. \square

Proof of (5.12). It suffices to show this statement for $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^N$ and for $m = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x_1, \dots, x_N)| &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} dy_1 \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{x_N} dy_N \frac{\partial^N u}{\partial y_1 \cdots \partial y_N}(y_1, \dots, y_N) \right| \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{\partial^N u}{\partial y_1 \cdots \partial y_N} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^N)} \leq \|u\|_{W^{N,1}(\mathbf{R}^N)} \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^N). \end{aligned}$$

By density we then get $\|u\|_{C_b^0(\mathbf{R}^N)} \leq \|u\|_{W^{N,1}(\mathbf{R}^N)}$ for any $u \in W^{N,1}(\mathbf{R}^N)$. [Ex] \square

Remarks. (i) Although for $N = 1$ (5.12) entails that $W^{1,1}(\Omega) \subset L^\infty(\Omega)$, we have

$$W^{1,N}(\Omega) \not\subset L^\infty(\Omega) \quad \forall N > 1. \quad (5.13)$$

For instance, setting $\Omega := B(0, 1/2)$ (the ball of center the origin and radius 2) and

$$v_\alpha(x) := (-\log|x|)^\alpha \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \forall \alpha \in]0, 1 - 1/N[, \quad (5.14)$$

it is easy to check that $v_\alpha \in W^{1,N}(\Omega)$, although of course $v_\alpha \notin L^\infty(\Omega)$.

(ii) The above results are extended to fractional Sobolev spaces. After (5.3), for any domain Ω , $C^{m,\lambda}(\bar{\Omega}) = W^{m+\lambda,\infty}(\Omega)$. Setting $N/\infty := 0$, the Morrey embedding (5.11) might then be regarded

⁽⁸⁾ By $C_b^m(\Omega)$ we denote the space of functions $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ that are continuous and bounded with their derivatives up to order m , possibly without being uniformly continuous.

as a limit case of the Sobolev embedding (5.10) for $q = \infty$. In this case however the Sobolev theorem does not apply, as $(m - \ell)p > N$. \square

Regularity Indices. Defining

$$\text{the Sobolev index } I_S(m, p) := m - N/p, \quad (5.15)$$

$$\text{the Hölder index } I_H(m, \lambda) := m + \lambda, \quad (5.16)$$

the Sobolev and Morrey embeddings (5.10) and (5.11) respectively also read

$$p \leq q, \quad \mathcal{I}_S(\ell, q, N) \leq \mathcal{I}_S(m, p, N) \quad \Rightarrow \quad W^{m,p}(\Omega) \subset W^{\ell,q}(\Omega), \quad (5.17)$$

$$I_H(\ell, \lambda) \leq I_S(m, p) \quad \Rightarrow \quad W^{m,p}(\Omega) \subset C^{\ell,\lambda}(\bar{\Omega}). \quad (5.18)$$

Next we see that if Ω is bounded and the inequality between the indices is strict, then these injections are compact.

• **Theorem 5.6** (*Compactness*) *Let Ω be a bounded Lipschitz domain of \mathbf{R}^N , $\ell, m \in \mathbf{N}_0$, $1 \leq p < +\infty$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$. Then:*

$$p \leq q, \quad m - N/p > \ell - N/q \quad \Rightarrow \quad W^{m,p}(\Omega) \subset\subset W^{\ell,q}(\Omega), \quad (5.19)$$

$$m - N/p > \ell + \lambda \quad \Rightarrow \quad W^{m,p}(\Omega) \subset\subset C^{\ell,\lambda}(\bar{\Omega}), \quad (5.20)$$

$$m_2 + \nu_2 > m_1 + \nu_1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad C^{m_2,\nu_2}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset\subset C^{m_1,\nu_1}(\bar{\Omega}). \quad (5.21)$$

These W -spaces may be replaced by the corresponding either W_0 - or W_{loc} -spaces; in either case Ω may be any domain of \mathbf{R}^N .

Exercises.

— * Let Ω be a uniformly-Lipschitz domain of \mathbf{R}^N and $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$. For any $s \in \mathbf{R}$, let us denote by $W_c^{s,p}(\Omega)$ the subspace of compactly supported distributions of $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$. Prove the following equalities:

$$\bigcap_{s \in \mathbf{R}} W_c^{s,p}(\Omega) = \mathcal{D}(\Omega), \quad \bigcup_{s \in \mathbf{R}} W_c^{s,p}(\Omega) = \mathcal{E}'(\Omega), \quad \bigcap_{s \in \mathbf{R}} W_{\text{loc}}^{s,p}(\Omega) = \mathcal{E}(\Omega), \quad \bigcup_{s \in \mathbf{R}} W_{\text{loc}}^{s,p}(\Omega) = \mathcal{D}'_F(\Omega)$$

(the latter is the space of distributions of finite order).

— Check that the bounded and uniformly continuous functions $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ have a unique continuous extension to $\bar{\Omega}$, even if the domain Ω is irregular.

— Why are not the Hölder spaces $C^{0,\lambda}(\Omega)$ defined for any $\lambda > 1$?

— Check that $f(x) = 1/\log|x/2| \in C^0([-1, 1])$ but it belongs to no Hölder space.

— Find a domain of \mathbf{R}^2 that has the cone property but is not of class $C^{0,\lambda}$ for any $\lambda \in]0, 1]$.

— Let $a, b, r, s \in \mathbf{R}$ be such that $a < b$ and $1 < r < s$. Discuss the regularity of the domain $\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 < 1, x > 0, ax^s < y < bx^r\}$ for different choices of the parameters a, b, r, s .

— Give an example of a domain with boundary not of class C^0 .

6. Traces

Dealing with PDEs it is of paramount importance to prescribe boundary- (and/or initial-) values. However, for functions of Sobolev spaces the restriction to a lower dimensional manifold $\mathcal{M} \subset \bar{\Omega}$ is meaningless, since \mathcal{M} has vanishing Lebesgue measure and these functions are only defined a.e. in Ω . Nevertheless by means of functional methods one can generalize the concept of *restriction* by introducing the notion of *trace*.

For instance, let $x_0 \in \Omega =]0, 1[$ and $\mathcal{M} = \{x_0\}$. For any $v \in C^1([0, 1])$ and any $x \in]0, 1[$, we have $v(x_0) = v(x) + \int_x^{x_0} v'(\xi) d\xi$; hence

$$|v(x_0)| = \int_0^1 |v(x_0)| dx \leq \int_0^1 \left(|v(x)| + \int_x^{x_0} |v'(\xi)| d\xi \right) dx \leq \|v\|_{W^{1,1}(0,1)}.$$

The restriction $v \mapsto v(x_0)$ may thus be extended to a uniquely-defined continuous operator $W^{1,1}(0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. Let us now set $\Omega =]0, 1[^2$. By a similar argument, one can easily check that $v(0, \cdot) \in L^p(0, 1)$ whenever $v, D_{x_1}v \in L^p(\Omega)$, and moreover, for a suitable constant $C > 0$,

$$\|v(0, \cdot)\|_{L^p(0,1)} \leq C(\|v\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|D_{x_1}v\|_{L^p(\Omega)}) \quad \text{if } v, D_{x_1}v \in L^p(\Omega). \quad (6.1)$$

Sobolev Spaces on a Manifold. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \Omega$ be a *nonflat* $(M-1)$ -dimensional manifold $\mathcal{M} \subset \Omega$. For any $s \geq 0$ and any $p \in [1, +\infty]$, if $\mathcal{M} \in C^{s,1}$ ($[s] :=$ integral part of s) and is compact, then the Sobolev space $W^{s,p}(\mathcal{M})$ may be defined via a local Cartesian representation of \mathcal{M} as follows.

Let $\{\Omega_i\}_{i=1,\dots,m}$ be a finite open covering of \mathcal{M} , such that, for any i , $\mathcal{M} \cap \Omega_i$ is the graph of a function $B_i \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ of class $C^{[s],1}$, the B_i 's being balls of \mathbf{R}^{M-1} . That is, there exist

- (i) a mapping $\varphi_i : B_i \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ of class $C^{m,\lambda}$, and
- (ii) a Cartesian system of coordinates $y = A \cdot x$, A being an orthogonal matrix, such that

$$\mathcal{M} \cap \Omega_i = \{(y', \varphi_i(y')) : y' := (y_1, \dots, y_{N-1}) \in B_i\}. \quad (6.2)$$

Let $\{\psi_i\}$ be a partition of unity of class C^∞ subordinate to the covering $\{\Omega_i\}$, and, for any function $u : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, let us set

$$u_i(y) := (\psi_i u)(y, \varphi_i(y)) \quad \forall y \in B_i, \quad (6.3)$$

$$W^{s,p}(\mathcal{M}) := \{u : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \text{ measurable: } u_i \in W^{s,p}(B_i), \forall i\}. \quad (6.4)$$

This linear space may be equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{M})} := \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \|u_i\|_{W^{s,p}(B_i)}^p \right)^{1/p} \quad \text{if } p < +\infty, \quad (6.5)$$

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,\infty}(\mathcal{M})} := \max_{i=1,\dots,m} \|u_i\|_{W^{s,\infty}(B_i)}.$$

Although this norm depends on $\{(\Omega_i, \varphi_i, f_i)\}_{i=1,\dots,m}$, different choices of these families correspond to equivalent norms for the same space. \square

Other function spaces may also be constructed on \mathcal{M} via a similar local Cartesian representation. The class of regularity of these functions cannot be higher than that of \mathcal{M} : e.g., if $\mathcal{M} \in C^m$ then one can define $C^\ell(\mathcal{M})$ only for $\ell \leq m$. If $\mathcal{M} \in C^\infty$ then one can also define test functions and distributions on \mathcal{M} .

Spaces over manifolds share several properties with spaces over (*flat*) Euclidean domains, and most of the results of the previous sections can be extended to this setting.

Traces. Next we state two basic trace results. First notice that $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$ may be equipped with the $(N - 1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure whenever Ω is of class $C^{0,1}$. One can then define the Banach space $L^p(\Gamma)$ for any $p \in [1, +\infty]$.

• **Theorem 6.1** (*Traces*) Let $1 < p < +\infty$, $s > 1/p$, and Ω be a bounded domain of \mathbf{R}^N of class $C^{0,1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \exists \gamma_0 : W^{s,p}(\Omega) &\rightarrow L^p(\Gamma) \text{ linear and continuous,} \\ \text{such that } \gamma_0 v &= v|_{\Gamma} \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{\Omega}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

Under appropriate regularity conditions, the trace of order 0, γ_0 , determines the first-order tangential derivatives (i.e., the tangential components of the gradient on the boundary). Jointly with the first-order normal derivative (i.e., the normal component of the gradient), γ_0 thus determines the boundary behaviour of all first-order derivatives. It is then of interest to represent the boundary value of this normal derivative, namely, the *normal trace*.⁽⁸⁾ By applying these results to the derivatives, one may also deal with the trace of higher-order derivatives.

• **Theorem 6.2** (*Normal Traces – I*) Let $1 < p < +\infty$, $s > 1 + 1/p$, and Ω be a bounded domain of \mathbf{R}^N of class $C^{0,1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \exists \gamma_1 : W^{s,p}(\Omega) &\rightarrow L^p(\Gamma) \text{ linear and continuous,} \\ \text{such that } \gamma_1 v &= \partial v / \partial \vec{\nu} (= \vec{\nu} \cdot \nabla v) \text{ on } \Gamma, \forall v \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{\Omega}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

Next we confine ourselves to the Hilbert setup. Let Ω be a domain of \mathbf{R}^N of class $C^{0,1}$, set

$$L_{\text{div}}^2(\Omega)^N := \{ \vec{v} \in L^2(\Omega)^N : \nabla \cdot \vec{v} \in L^2(\Omega) \}, \quad (6.8)$$

and equip it with the graph norm

$$\| \vec{v} \|_{L_{\text{div}}^2(\Omega)^N} := \left(\| \vec{v} \|_{L^2(\Omega)^N}^2 + \| \nabla \cdot \vec{v} \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (6.9)$$

By means of Proposition 1.1, it is easily checked that this is a Banach space, actually a subspace of $H^1(\Omega)^N$.

Theorem 6.3 (*Normal Traces – II*) Let Ω be a bounded domain of \mathbf{R}^N of class $C^{0,1}$. There exists a unique linear and continuous operator $\gamma_{\nu} : L_{\text{div}}^2(\Omega)^N \rightarrow H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$ ($= H^{1/2}(\Gamma)'$) such that $\gamma_{\nu} \vec{v} = \vec{\nu} \cdot \vec{v}$ on Γ for any $\vec{v} \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{\Omega})^N$.

Moreover the following generalized formula of partial integration holds:

$$- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \vec{u}) v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \vec{u} \cdot \nabla v \, dx - \int_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)} \langle \gamma_{\nu} \vec{u}, v \rangle_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)} \quad \forall \vec{u} \in L_{\text{div}}^2(\Omega)^N, \forall v \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{\Omega}). \quad (6.10)$$

Outline of the Proof. Let us write the classical formula of partial integration (or Gauss-Green's theorem) for a sequence $\{ \vec{u}_n \} \subset \mathcal{D}(\bar{\Omega})^N$ that approximates \vec{u} in $L_{\text{div}}^2(\Omega)^N$:

$$- \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \vec{u}_n) v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \vec{u}_n \cdot \nabla v \, dx - \int_{\Gamma} \vec{u}_n \cdot \vec{\nu} v \, dS \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{\Omega}) \quad (6.10)'$$

(by dS we denote the $(N - 1)$ -dimensional area element of Γ). By comparing the terms of this formula, it is easily checked that (for suitable constants C, \hat{C})

$$\| \vec{u}_n \cdot \vec{\nu} \|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)'} \leq C \left(\| \vec{u}_n \|_{L^2(\Omega)^N} + \| \nabla \cdot \vec{u}_n \|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right) \leq C \| \vec{u}_n \|_{L_{\text{div}}^2(\Omega)^N} \quad \forall n.$$

⁽⁸⁾ What we are saying for the first-order normal derivative applies to any other first-order nontangential derivative.

By passing to the limit in this inequality, we get the stated properties of the operator γ_ν . By passing to the limit in (6.10)', (6.10) follows. \square

Let Ω still be a domain of \mathbf{R}^N of class $C^{0,1}$, set

$$L_\Delta^2(\Omega) := \{v \in L^2(\Omega) : \Delta v \in L^2(\Omega)\}, \quad (6.11)$$

and equip it with the graph norm

$$\|v\|_{L_\Delta^2(\Omega)} := (\|v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2)^{1/2}. \quad (6.12)$$

By means of Proposition 1.1, it is easily checked that this is a Banach space, actually a subspace of $H^2(\Omega)$.

By applying Theorem 6.3 to the gradient of u , one easily gets the next statement.

Corollary 6.4 (*Normal Traces – III*) *Let Ω be a bounded domain of \mathbf{R}^N of class $C^{0,1}$. There exists a unique linear and continuous operator $\tilde{\gamma}_\nu : L_\Delta^2(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}_\nu v = \partial v / \partial \vec{\nu}$ ($= \vec{\nu} \cdot \nabla v$) on Γ for any $v \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{\Omega})$.*

Moreover the following generalized formula of partial integration holds:

$$-\int_\Omega \Delta u v \, dx = \int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx - {}_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)} \langle \tilde{\gamma}_\nu u, v \rangle_{{}_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)}} \quad \forall \vec{u} \in L_\Delta^2(\Omega)^N, \forall v \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{\Omega}). \quad (6.13)$$

Next we characterize the spaces $W_0^{1,p}$ and $W_0^{2,p}$ in terms of traces (cf. Proposition 4.1):

• **Proposition 6.5** *Let Ω be a bounded domain of \mathbf{R}^N of class $C^{1,1}$. For any $p \in [1, +\infty]$,*

$$W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) = \{v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) : \gamma_0 v = 0 \text{ a.e. on } \Gamma\}, \quad (6.14)$$

$$W_0^{2,p}(\Omega) = \{v \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) : \gamma_1 v = \gamma_0 v = 0 \text{ a.e. on } \Gamma\}. \quad (6.15)$$

More generally, for any integer $k \geq 1$, $W_0^{k,p}(\Omega)$ is the space of all functions of $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ such that all the traces that make sense in $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ vanish a.e on Γ . \square Thus for instance

$$W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) = \{v \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) : \gamma_0 v = 0 \text{ a.e. on } \Gamma\} \neq W_0^{2,p}(\Omega). \quad (6.16)$$

The Friedrichs Inequality. The next result is often applied in the study of PDEs with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

Theorem 6.6 (*Friedrichs Inequality*) *Assume that Ω is a bounded domain of \mathbf{R}^N of class $C^{0,1}$, let $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma$ have positive $(N-1)$ -dimensional measure, and $p \in [1, +\infty]$. Then⁽⁹⁾*

$$v \mapsto \|v\| := (\|\nabla v\|_{L^p(\Omega)^N}^p + \|\gamma_0 v\|_{L^p(\Gamma_1)}^p)^{1/p} \quad (6.17)$$

is an equivalent norm in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

* *Proof.* By the continuity of the trace operator $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\Gamma_1)$, there exists $C > 0$ such that $\|v\| \leq C \|v\|_{1,p}$ for any $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. The converse inequality holds if we show that there exists $\hat{C} > 0$ such that

$$\|v\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \hat{C} (\|\nabla v\|_{L^p(\Omega)^N}^p + \|\gamma_0 v\|_{L^p(\Gamma_1)}^p)^{1/p} \quad \forall v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

⁽⁹⁾ Γ_1 is a manifold with boundary, and above we just defined Sobolev spaces on manifold without boundary. Anyway, we may define $\|\gamma_0 v\|_{L^p(\Gamma_1)} := \|\chi_{\Gamma_1} \gamma_0 v\|_{L^p(\Gamma)}$, where by $\chi_{\Gamma_1} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ we denote the characteristic function of Γ_1 .

By contradiction, let us assume that for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists $v_n \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\|v_n\|_{L^p(\Omega)} > n(\|\nabla v_n\|_{L^p(\Omega)^N}^p + \|\gamma_0 v_n\|_{L^p(\Gamma_1)}^p)^{1/p}. \quad (6.18)$$

Possibly dividing this inequality by $\|v_n\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$, we can assume that $\|v_n\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1$ for any n . Thus

$$(\|\nabla v_n\|_{L^p(\Omega)^N}^p + \|\gamma_0 v_n\|_{L^p(\Gamma_1)}^p)^{1/p} < 1/n \quad \forall n. \quad (6.19)$$

Therefore there exists $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that, possibly extracting a subsequence, $v_n \rightarrow v$ weakly in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. By (6.19), $\nabla v_n \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega)^N$ and $\gamma_0 v_n \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L^p(\Gamma_1)$. Hence $\nabla v = 0$ a.e. in Ω and $\gamma_0 v = 0$ a.e. on Γ_1 . As Ω is connected, this entails that $v = 0$ a.e. in Ω .⁽⁹⁾ On the other hand, as the injection $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ is compact,⁽¹⁰⁾ $\|v\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|v_n\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1$, and this is a contradiction. \square

Exercises. (i) Characterize the closure of $\{v \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^N : \nabla \cdot v = 0\}$ in the topology of $L^2(\Omega)$.

(ii) Characterize the closure of $\{v \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^N : \nabla \cdot v \in L^2(\Omega)\}$ in the topology of $L^2(\Omega)$.

(iii) Characterize the closure of $\{v \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) : \Delta v = 0\}$ in the topology of $L^2(\Omega)$.

(iv) Characterize the closure of $\{v \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) : \Delta v \in L^2(\Omega)\}$ in the topology of $L^2(\Omega)$.

⁽⁹⁾ Domain = connected open set...

⁽¹⁰⁾ This property will be seen ahead...